#### **Analytical Data Package Prepared For**

### **Pacific Northwest National Lab**

Radiochemical Analysis By

### **STL Richland STLRL**

2800 G.W. Way, Richland Wa, 99354, (509)-375-3131.

Data Package Contains \_\_\_\_\_ Pages

Report Nbr: 34602

SDG Nbr	ORDER Nbr	CLIENT ID NUMBER	LOT Nbr	WORK ORDER	RPT DB ID	BATCH	
W05071A	W07-011	B1L5X3	J7B210142-1	JPRA81AD	9JPRA810	7052433	
		B1L5X3	J7B210142-1	JPRA82AA	9JPRA820	7059236	
		B1L5X3	J7B210142-1	JPRA82AC	9JPRA820	7059234	



#### STL Richland

2800 George Washington Way Richland, WA 99354

Tel: 509 375 3131 Fax: 509 375 5590

www.stl-inc.com

#### Certificate of Analysis

Pacific Northwest National Laboratories Sigma V Building Richland, WA 99352

March 2, 2007

Attention: Dot Stewart

SAF Number : W07-011

Date SDG Closed : February 20, 2007

Number of Samples : One (1)
Sample Type : Water
SDG Number : W05071A

Data Deliverable : 15-Day / Summary

#### CASE NARRATIVE

#### I. Introduction

On February 15, 2007 a request for additional analyses of one water sample was received at STL Richland (STLR). Upon receipt, the sample was assigned the following laboratory ID number to correspond with the Pacific Northwest National Laboratories (PGW) specific ID:

PGW ID#	STLR ID#	DATE OF RECEIPT	MATRIX
B1L5X3	JKPGR	11/30/06	WATER

#### II. Sample Receipt

The sample was received in good condition and no anomalies were noted during check-in.

#### III. Analytical Results/Methodology

The analytical results for this report are presented by laboratory sample ID. Each set of data includes sample identification information, analytical results and the appropriate associated statistical errors.

The requested analyses were: Gas Proportional Counting

Gross Alpha by method RICH-RC-5014 Gross Beta by method RICH-RC-5014

**Liquid Scintillation Counting** 

Technetium-99 by TEVA method RICH-RC-5065

#### **IV.** Quality Control

The analytical results for each analysis performed includes a minimum of one laboratory control sample (LCS), one method (reagent) blank, and one duplicate sample analysis. Any exceptions have been noted in the "Comments" section.

QC and sample results are reported in the same units.

#### V. Comments

#### **Gas Proportional Counting**

Gross Alpha by method RICH-RC-5014:

The original analysis had a low yield for the LCS. It was rerun with good results. Except as noted, the LCS, batch blank, samples and sample duplicate (B1L5X3) results are within contractual requirements.

#### Gross Beta by method RICH-RC-5014:

The original analysis had a low yield for the LCS. It was rerun with good results. The achieved MDAs for all of the samples are greater than the CRDL due to sample matrix effects; reduced volumes were analyzed based on an elevated screen results. The detected activities exceed the achieved MDAs. Except as noted, the LCS, batch blank, samples and sample duplicate (B1L5X3) results are within contractual requirements.

#### **Liquid Scintillation Counting**

Technetium-99 by TEVA method RICH-RC-5065:

The LCS, batch blank, samples, sample duplicate (B1L5X3), and sample matrix spike (B1L5X3) results are within contractual requirements.

I certify that this Certificate of Analysis is in compliance with the SOW, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager, or a designee as verified by the following signature.

Reviewed and approved:

Sherry A. Adam Project Manager

#### Adam, Sherryl

From:

Sent:

Hampt, Heidi [heidi.hampt@pnl.gov] Wednesday, February 14, 2007 11:03 AM Adam, Sherryl

To:

Cc:

Stewart, Dorothy L

Subject:

Request for Recheck, Recount, or Reanalysis Order

Attachments:

070214STLRLR3723.rtf



<<070214STLRLR3723.rtf>>

See Attached

#### 02/14/2007 RECHECK, RECOUNT, OR REANALYSIS ORDER CONTRACT NO MW6-SBB-A19981

Severn Trent Incorporated, 2800 George Washington Richland, WA 99354

Battelle PNNL Order Number: 070214STLRL-R3723

Sample Delivery Group: W05071

Special Instructions None

Samples(s)

Lab Sample ID	PNNL Sample	Action	TAT	METHOD_NAME:
9JKPGR10	B1L5X3	Reanalysis	15/15	TC99_ETVDSK_LSC
9JKPGR10	B1L5X3	Reanalysis	15/15	9310_ALPHABETA_G

Deliver Report Results to: Dorothy L. Stewart, K6-96

c/o Secretary

3110 Port of Benton Blvd.

Richland, WA 99352

The report results must reference the Battelle PNNL-order number, SDG number, and the Battelle PNNL sample identification number shown above.

#### **Drinking Water Method Cross References**

	DRINKING WATER	ASTM METHOD CROSS REFERENCES
Referenced Method	Isotope(s)	STL Richland's SOP number
EPA 901.1	Cs-134, I-131	RICH-RC-5017
EPA 900.0	Alpha & Beta	RICH-RC-5014
EPA 903.1	Ra-226	RICH-RC-5005
EPA 904.0	Ra-228	RICH-RC-5005
EPA 905.0	Sr89/90	RICH-RC-5006
ASTM D2460	Total Radium	RICH-RC-5027
Standard Method 7500-U-C & ASTM D5174	Uranium	RICH-RC-5058
EPA 906.0	Tritium	RICH-RC-5007
NOTE:		
The Gross Alpha LCS is prepared with Am-24		
The Gross Beta LCS is prepared with Sr/Y-90	(unless otherwise s	pecified in the case narrative)

#### **Uncertainty Estimation**

STL Richland has adopted the internationally accepted approach to estimating uncertainties described in "NIST Technical Note 1297, 1994 Edition". The approach, "Law of Propagation of Errors", involves the identification of all variables in an analytical method which are used to derive a result. These variables are related to the analytical result (R) by some functional relationship, R = constants \* f(x,y,z,...). The components (x,y,z) are evaluated to determine their contribution to the overall method uncertainty. The individual component uncertainties  $(u_i)$  are then combined using a statistical model that provides the most probable overall uncertainty value. All component uncertainties are categorized as type A, evaluated by statistical methods, or type B, evaluated by other means. Uncertainties not included in the components, such as sample homogeneity, are combined with the component uncertainty as the square root of the sum-of-the-squares of the individual uncertainties. The uncertainty associated with the derived result is the combined uncertainty  $(u_c)$  multiplied by the coverage factor (1,2, or 3).

When three or more sample replicates are used to derive the analytical result, the type A uncertainty is the standard deviation of the mean value (S/vn), where S is the standard deviation of the derived results. The type B uncertainties are all other random or non-random components that are not included in the standard deviation.

The derivation of the general "Law of Propagation of Errors" equations and specific example are available on request.

Report Definitions

An agreed upon activity level used to trigger some action when the final result is greater than or equal to the Action Action Lev Level. Often the Action Level is related to the Decision Limit. The QC preparation batch number that relates laboratory samples to QC samples that were prepared and analyzed Batch together. Bias Defined by the equation (Result/Expected)-1 as defined by ANSI N13.30. COC No Chain of Custody Number assigned by the Client or STL Richland. Poisson counting statistics of the gross sample count and background. The uncertainty is absolute and in the same Count Error (#s) units as the result. For Liquid Scintillation Counting (LSC) the batch blank count is the background. All known uncertainties associated with the preparation and analysis of the sample are propagated to give a measure Total Uncert (#s) of the uncertainty associated with the result,  $u_c$  the combined uncertainty. The uncertainty is absolute and in the  $u_{c}$  Combined Uncertainty. same units as the result. The coverage factor defines the width of the confidence interval, 1, 2 or 3 standard deviations. (#s), Coverage Factor Contractual Required Detection Limit as defined in the Client's Statement Of Work or STL Richland "default" CRDL (RL) nominal detection limit. Often referred to the reporting level (RL) Decision Level based on instrument background or blank, adjusted by the Efficiency, Chemical Yield, and Volume Lc associated with the sample. The Type I error probability is approximately 5%. Lc=(1.645 \*  $Sqrt(2*(BkgrndCnt/BkgrndCntMin)/SCntMin))*(ConvFct/(Eff*Yld*Abn*Vol)*IngrFct). \ \ For \ LSC \ methods \ the \ \ ConvFct/(Eff*Yld*Abn*Vol)*(ConvFct/(Eff*Y$ batch blank is used as a measure of the background variability. Lc cannot be calculated when the background count is zero. The number assigned by the LIMS software to track samples received on the same day for a given client. The Lot-Sample No sample number is a sequential number assigned to each sample in the Lot. MDC|MDA Detection Level based on instrument background or blank, adjusted by the Efficiency, Chemical Yield, and Volume with a Type I and II error probability of approximately 5%. MDC = (4.65 \* $Sqrt((BkgrndCnt/BkgrndCntMin)/SCntMin) + 2.71/SCntMin) * (ConvFct/(Eff * Yld * Abn * Vol) * IngrFct). \ \ For the property of the property o$ LSC methods the batch blank is used as a measure of the background variability. **Primary Detector** The instrument identifier associated with the analysis of the sample aliquot. The U-234 result divided by the U-238 result. The U-234/U-238 ratio for natural uranium in NIST SRM 4321C is Ratio U-234/U-238 1.038. Ratio of the Result to the MDC. A value greater than 1 may indicate activity above background at a high level of Rst/MDC confidence. Caution should be used when applying this factor and it should be used in concert with the qualifiers associated with the result. Ratio of the Result to the Total Uncertainty. If the uncertainty has a coverage factor of 2 a value greater than 1 may Rst/TotUcert indicate activity above background at approximately the 95% level of confidence assuming a two-sided confidence interval. Caution should be used when applying this factor and it should be used in concert with the qualifiers associated with the result. Sample Identifier used by the report system. The number is based upon the first five digits of the Work Order Report DB No Number. The equation Replicate Error Ratio = (S-D)/[sqrt(TPUs<sup>2</sup> + TPUd<sup>2</sup>)] as defined by ICPT BOA where S is the original RER sample result, D is the result of the duplicate, TPUs is the total uncertainty of the original sample and TPUd is the total uncertainty of the duplicate sample. Sample Delivery Group Number assigned by the Client or assigned by STL Richland upon sample receipt. **SDG** The sum of the reported alpha spec results for tests derived from the same sample excluding duplicate result where Sum Rpt Alpha the results are in the same units. Spec Rst(s) Work Order The LIMS software assign test specific identifier. The recovery of the tracer added to the sample such as Pu-242 used to trace a Pu-239/40 method. Yield

STL Richland Report Lab Code: STLRL 3/2/2007 1:35:38 PM FormatType: FEAD Version: 05 Rpt Nbr: 34602 File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\W05071A.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\34602.E FormNbr: R QC Distilled Sample Collection Lab Client Test Contract SAF Nbr Sdg Moisture/ Solids%\*: Volume On Date: Date: User Nbr Nbr: Type: Sample Id: ld: 11/30/2006 12:55 W05071A 9JPRA810 B1L5X3 MW6-SBB-A1 W07-011 TrcYield Method Analy Date/Time CAS# CntU 2S TotU 2S Qual MDA Alq Size Unit Act Batch Analyte Result Unit TC99\_ETVDSK\_LS 1.251E-01 TC-99 14133-76-7 1.27E+03 pCi/L 1.9E+01 8.1E+01 9.54E+00 100.0 02/23/2007 02:49 7052433 Distilled Lab Client Test Contract SAF Nbr Sdg QC Moisture/ Sample Collection Volume On Date: Nbr: Type: Solids%\*: Date: Sample Id: ld: User Nbr 11/30/2006 12:55 W05071A 9JPRA820 B1L5X3 MW6-SBB-A1 W07-011 MDA TrcYield Method Unit Analy Date/Time Act Batch Analyte CAS# Result Unit CntU 2S TotU 2S Qual Alg Size 9310\_ALPHABETA 1.23E-01 2.35E+00 100.0 L 03/01/2007 14:53 7059236 **ALPHA** 12587-46-1 2.41E+00 pCi/L 1.6E+00 1.7E+00

4.61E+00 100.0

9310 ALPHABETA 1.247E-01

1,0E+01 3.9E+01

7059234

**BETA** 

12587-47-2

2.90E+02

pCi/L

03/01/2007 13:13

Friday, March 02, 2007 STL Richland QC Blank Report Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R FormatType: FEAD VersionNbr: 05 File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\W05071A.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\W05071A.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\W05071A.Edd

**Lab Sample Id:** JP7231AB **Sdg/Rept Nbr:** W05071A 34602 **Collection Date:** 11/30/2006 12:55

Client Id: NA Matrix: WATER WATER Sample On Date:

Moisture/Solids%\*: QC Type: BLK Received Date: 02/15/2007

SAF Nbr Contract Nbr Test User Case Nbr SAS Nbr Suffix Decant **Distilled Volume** File Id FSuffix RTyp MW6-SBB-A19981 AC Н Batch # / Result/ Analyt/ Tot/Cnt Qu-Tracer Spk Conc/ Analy Aliq Date/Time RPD/ RER/ LCS CAS# Orig Rst MDC Yield %Rec LCL/UCL Typ Qc Type Unit Uncert 2S al Method Size/ Analyzed UCL UCL pCi/L 1.2E+00 2.44E+00 100.0 7059234 BETA 1.36E+00 9310 ALPHAB 2.039E-01 03/01/2007 D BLK 12587-47-2 1.2E+00 L 13:13

STL Richland QC Blank Report

Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R

FormatType: FEAD

VersionNbr: 05

File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\W05071A.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\34602.E

Lab Sample Id:

JP7241AB

Sdg/Rept Nbr: W05071A

34602

Collection Date: 11/30/2006 12:55

Client Id:

SAF Nbr

NA

MW6-SBB-A19981

Matrix:

al

U

WATER

WATER

Sample On Date: **Received Date:** 

02/15/2007

Moisture/Solids%\*:

Contract Nbr **Test User** 

QC Type: Case Nbr SAS Nbr

**BLK** 

Suffix Decant **Distilled Volume** 

File Id

FSuffix RTyp ΑE Н

R

D

Batch # / Qc Type 7059236

Analyt/ Result/ CAS# **Orig Rst** ALPHA -1.27E-01

Tot/Cnt Unit Uncert 2S pCi/L 1.3E-01

Qu-Tracer MDC Yield 5.26E-01 100.0

Spk Conc/ %Rec

Analy Method

Aliq Size/ 9310\_ALPHAB 1.991E-01

Analyzed 03/01/2007

Date/Time RPD/ UCL

LCS RER/ UCL LCL/UCL Typ

BLK 12587-46-1 Friday, March 02, 2007 STL Richland QC Blank Report

FormNbr: R FormatType: FEAD VersionNbr: 05 File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\W05071A.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\34602.E

Lab Sample Id: JPTLC1AB Sdg/Rept Nbr: W05071A 34602 Collection Date: 11/30/2006 12:55

Client Id: NA Matrix: WATER WATER Sample On Date:

Moisture/Solids%\*: QC Type: BLK Received Date: 02/15/2007

SAF Nbr **Contract Nbr Test User** Case Nbr SAS Nbr Suffix **Distilled Volume** File Id FSuffix RTyp Decant MW6-SBB-A19981 ΑK Н LCS Batch # / Analyt/ Result/ Tot/Cnt Qu-Tracer Spk Conc/ Analy Aliq Date/Time RPD/ RER/

R Qc Type CAS# Orig Rst Unit Uncert 2S al MDC Yield %Rec Method Size/ Analyzed UCL UCL LCL/UCL Typ 7052433 TC-99 6.54E+00 pCi/L 5.3E+00 8.97E+00 100.0 TC99\_ETVDSK 1.264E-01 02/23/2007 D U BLK 14133-76-7 3.7E+00 05:57

#### STL Richland QC Control Sample Report

Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R

FormatType: FEAD

VersionNbr: 05

File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\W05071A.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\34602.E

Lab Sample Id:

JP7231CS

Sdg/Rept Nbr: W05071A

34602

Collection Date: 11/30/2006 12:55

Client Id:

NA

Matrix:

WATER

WATER

Sample On Date:

02/15/2007

Moisture/Solids%\*:

QC Type:

BS

**Received Date:** 

SAF Nbr **Contract Nbr** 

12587-47-2

MW6-SBB-A19981

Case Nbr

SAS Nbr

Suffix Decant **Distilled Volume** 

File Id

FSuffix RTyp ΑD Н

R

Batch # / Qc Type 7059234

BS

Analyt/ Result/ CAS# Orig Rst BETA 2.14E+01

Tot/Cnt Unit Uncert 2S pCi/L 3.6E+00 2.3E+00

Test User

Tracer Qual MDC Yield 2.41E+00 100.0

Spk Conc/ %Rec 2.29E+01 93.4

Analy Method 9310\_ALPHAB

Aliq Size/ 1.999E-01

Date/Time RPD/ UCL Analyzed 03/01/2007 13:13

LCS RER/ UCL LCL/UCL Typ 70

D 130

STL Richland QC Control Sample Report

Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R

FormatType: FEAD

VersionNbr: 05

File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\W05071A.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\34602.E

Lab Sample Id:

JP7241CS

Sdg/Rept Nbr: W05071A

34602

Collection Date: 11/30/2006 12:55

Client Id:

NA

Matrix:

**WATER** 

WATER

Sample On Date:

Moisture/Solids%\*:

QC Type: BS

**Received Date:** 

02/15/2007

SAFI		ntract Nbr -SBB-A19981	Т	est User	Case	Nbr S	AS Nbr	Suffix	Decant I	Distilled Volume	File	e Id		FSuffix F AF	RТур Н
Batch # / Qc Type	Analyt/ CAS#	Result/ Orig Rst	Unit	Tot/Cnt Uncert 2S	Qu- al	MDC	Tracer Yield	Spk Conc/ %Rec	Analy Method	Aliq Size/	Date/Time Analyzed	RPD/ UCL	RER/ UCL	LCS LCL/UC	R L Typ
7059236 <b>BS</b>	ALPHA 12587-46-1	1.85E+01	pCi/L	4.6E+00 1.9E+00		5.16E-01	100.0	2.29E+01 80.7	9310_ALPHAE	2.003E-01 L	03/01/2007 14:53			70 130	D

#### STL Richland QC Control Sample Report

Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R

Moisture/Solids%\*:

FormatType: FEAD

VersionNbr: 05

File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\W05071A.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\34602.E

Lab Sample Id:

JPTLC1CS

Sdg/Rept Nbr: W05071A

34602

Collection Date: 11/30/2006 12:55

Client Id:

NA

Matrix:

MDC

WATER

WATER

Sample On Date: **Received Date:** 

02/15/2007

SAF Nbr

Contract Nbr MW6-SBB-A19981 QC Type:

Case Nbr

BS

SAS Nbr

Suffix Decant

**Distilled Volume** 

File Id

FSuffix RTyp AL

Н

R

Batch # / Qc Type

7052433

Analyt/ Result/ CAS# Orig Rst TC-99

4.76E+02

Tot/Cnt Unit **Uncert 2S** pCi/L 3.3E+01

Test User

Qual

Tracer Yield 9.02E+00 100.0

Spk Conc/ %Rec 5.35E+02

Analy Method TC99\_ETVDSK 1.269E-01

Aliq Size/

Date/Time Analyzed 02/23/2007

RPD/ RER/ LCS UCL UCL

LCL/UCL Typ 70 D

BS 14133-76-7

1.2E+01

89.1

07:00

130

STL Richland

U Qual - Analyzed for, but the result is less than the Mdc or gamma scan did not identify the nuclide. J Qual - No U qualifier has been assigned and the result is below the Reporting Limit (CRDL).

B Qual- Analyte was found in the associated laboratory blank above the MDC.

#### STL Richland QC Duplicate Report

Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R

FormatType: FEAD

VersionNbr: 05

File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\W05071A.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\34602.E

Lab Sample Id:

JPRA81FR

Sdg/Rept Nbr: W05071A

SAS Nbr

34602

Collection Date: 11/30/2006 12:55

Client Id:

B1L5X3

Matrix:

**WATER** 

Sample On Date:

Moisture/Solids%\*:

14133-76-7

QC Type:

**WATER** 

**Received Date:** 

02/15/2007

SAF Nbr W07-011

Contract Nbr MW6-SBB-A19981 Case Nbr

DUP Suffix

Decant

**Distilled Volume** 

FSuffix RTyp File Id

RER/

Batch # / Qc Type

7052433

DUP

Analyt/ CAS# TC-99

Result/ Orig Rst 1.29E+03 1.27E+03

Tot/Cnt Unit Uncert 2S pCi/L 8.3E+01 1.9E+01

**Test User** 

Qu-MDC al 9.43E+00 100.0

Tracer Yield

Spk Conc/ Analy %Rec Method TC99\_ETVDSK 1.273E-01

Size/

Aliq Date/Time Analyzed 02/23/2007

UCL UCL 1.7

RPD/

LCS LCL/UCL Typ

AH

Η

D

R

0.4 20.0 04:54 3

#### STL Richland QC Duplicate Report

FormNbr: R

FormatType: FEAD

VersionNbr: 05

File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\W05071A.Edd. h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\34602.E

Lab Sample Id:

JPRA81JR

Sdg/Rept Nbr: W05071A

34602

WATER

Collection Date: 11/30/2006 12:55

Client Id:

B1L5X3

Matrix:

**WATER** 

Sample On Date:

Moisture/Solids%\*:

QC Type:

DUP

Received Date:

02/15/2007

SAF Nbr

Contract Nbr

Case Nbr

SAS Nbr

Suffix

Decant

**Distilled Volume** 

File Id

FSuffix RTyp

W07-011

MW6-SBB-A19981

Lab Code: STLRL

ΑI Н

R

D

Batch # / Qc Type 7059234

Analyt/ CAS# **BETA** 

Result/ **Orig Rst** 3.37E+02

Tot/Cnt Unit Uncert 2S pCi/L 4.5E+01

**Test User** 

Qual

MDC 4.45E+00

Tracer Yield 100.0

Spk Conc/ %Rec

Analy Method 9310 ALPHAB

Aliq Size/ 1.26E-01

Analyzed 03/01/2007

Date/Time

RPD/ RER/ UCL

LCS LCL/UCL Typ

UCL

DUP 12587-47-2

1.1E+01

L 13:13

#### STL Richland OC Duplicate Report

Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R

Moisture/Solids%\*:

FormatType: FEAD

VersionNbr: 05

File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\W05071A.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\34602.E

Lab Sample Id:

JPRA81KR

Sdg/Rept Nbr: W05071A

34602

Collection Date: 11/30/2006 12:55

Client Id:

B1L5X3

Matrix: QC Type: WATER

WATER

Sample On Date: Received Date:

02/15/2007

SAF Nbr

Contract Nbr

Case Nbr

DUP

Decant

AJ

W07-011

MW6-SBB-A19981

al

SAS Nbr

Suffix

**Distilled Volume** 

File Id

FSuffix RTyp

Н

R

Batch # / Qc Type 7059236

Analyt/ CAS# ALPHA

Result/ **Orig Rst** 2.06E+00

Tot/Cnt Unit Uncert 2S pCi/L 1.5E+00

Test User

Qu-

MDC 2.16E+00 100.0

Tracer Spk Conc/ Yield %Rec

Analy Method

Aliq Size/ 9310\_ALPHAB 1.246E-01

Date/Time Analyzed 03/01/2007

RPD/ UCL RER/ LCS UCL LCL/UCL Typ D

12:59

DUP 12587-46-1

1.5E+00

Friday, March 02, 2007 STL Richland Qc Matrix Spike Report Lab Code: STLRL

FormNbr: R FormatType: FEAD VersionNbr: 05 File Name: h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\W05071A.Edd, h:\Reportdb\edd\FeadIV\Rad\34602.E

 Lab Sample Id:
 JPRA81EW
 Sdg/Rept Nbr:
 W05071A
 34602
 Collection Date:
 11/30/2006 12:55

Client Id: B1L5X3 Matrix: WATER WATER Sample On Date:

Moisture/Solids%\*: QC Type: MS Received Date: 02/15/2007

<b>SAF (</b> W07-0		ontract Nbr /6-SBB-A19981	Т	est User	Case	Nbr SA	S Nbr	Suffix	Decant	Distilled Volume	File	e ld		FSuffix I AG	RTyp H
Batch # / Qc Type	Analyt/ CAS#	Result/ Orig Rst	Unit	Tot/Cnt Uncert 2S	Qu- al	MDC	Tracer Yield	Spk Conc/ %Rec	Analy Method	Aliq Size/	Date/Time Analyzed	RPD/ UCL	RER/ UCL	LCS LCL/UC	R L Typ
7052433 <b>MS</b>	TC-99 14133-76-7	2.90E+03	pCi/L	2.7E+02 3.3E+01		9.08E+00	100.0	3.72E+03 78.0	TC99_ETVDS	K 1.232E-01 L	02/23/2007 03:52			60 140	D



QAS\_RADCAL::)v4.8.26

### Data Review/Verification Checklist RADIOCHEMISTRY, First Level Review

3/2/2007 1:15:20 PM

Lot No., Due Date: J7B210142; 03/01/2007 Client, Site: 384868; PGW 615HANFORD HANFORD QC Batch No., Method Test: 7059236; RALPHA-A Alpha by GPC-Am SDG, Matrix:: W05071A; WATER 8.0 Correction Calculation Protocol Used. N/A No 8.01 The Appropriate Methods Were Used To Analyze the Samples Yeş No N/A 8.02 Final Results Are in the Appropriate Activity Units No N/A Yeş 8.03 Batch Contains the Required QC Appropriate for the Method Yeş No N/A 8.04 The Correct Tracer and QC Vials Where Used in the Samples Yes No N/A 8.05 Sample was Appropriately Traced Before or After Fractionating the Sample No N/A 8.06 At Least the Minimum Sample Volume Was Used Yes No/ N/A Analysis Volume => JPRA82AA 123.00<200.00 Q:VB 8.07 The Correct Count Geometry was Used. No N/A 8.08 The Sample was Counted for the Minimum Count Time or CRDL was Achieved. No N/A Yes 8.09 Method Blank is within Control Limits. No N/A OK 8.1 Comments: 8.11 Matrix Blank is within Control Limits. Yes No N/A No Matrix Blanks (MBlks) found in Batch! 8.12 Method Blank(s) < QAS Limit Value (No B Flag Necessary). Yes No N/A 8.13 QAS Specified Duplicate Equation Value within Control Limits. No N/A Yes OK (RPE) 8.14 LCS within Control Limits. Yes No N/A OK 18.15 MLCS within Control Limits. N/A No No Matrix Spikes (MLCS) found in Batch! 8.16 MS within Control Limits. Yes No N/A No Matrix Spike Samples (MS) found in Batch! 8.17 Tracer within Control Limits. No N/A 8.18 Samples are above Minimum Tracer Yield (No Failed Samples) No N/A Yes 8.19 Sample Specific MDC <= CRDL. Yeş No N/A OK 8.2 Comments: 8.21 Result < Lc, Activity Not Detected, U Flag. Yes No N/A No Limit Specified! 8.22 Result < Mdc, Activity Not Detected, U Flag. No N/A No Positive Results 8.23 Result <= Action Level, when Defined. No N/A OK; No Action Level Found => ALPHA OK; No Callin Level Found => ALPHA 8.24 Result + 3s >=0, Not Too Negative. No N/A 8.25 Counting Spectrum are within FWHM Limits. Yes No N/A No FWHM found in Batch Data! STL Richland Page 1

3.26	Instruments have Current Calibrations.	Yes	No	N/A
	Correct Count Library Used. No Count Library found in Batch Data!	Yes	No	N/A
8.28	Instrument Background within Limits at Time of Counting. (Not Applicable to this version. To be developed in later version.)	nkes	No	N/A
8.29	Instrument Check Source within Limits at the Time of Counting. (Not Applicable to this version. To be developed in later	<b>Véesi</b> c	n <b>inso</b> ).	N/A
8.3	Comments: UCM # 10-09503		*	
8.31	Results Blank Subtracted as Appropriate.	Yes	No	N/A
or number of the second		<b>Y</b>	LPVP-B00000000000000000000000000000000000	outstance with construct, or
			•	
Eiro	t Level Review No Quiton Som Date 3/3/07			
	Richland		_	

QAS\_RADCALCv4.8.26

Page 2



# Data Review Checklist RADIOCHEMISTRY Second Level Review

Review Item	Yes (√)	No (V)	N/A (\(\frac{1}{2}\))
A. Sample Analysis			1
1. Are the sample yields within acceptance criteria?			
2. Is the sample Minimum Detectable Activity < the Contract			
Detection Limit?			
3. Are the correct isotopes reported?	1		
B. QC Samples			
<ol> <li>Is the Minimum Detectable Activity for the blank result ≤ the</li> </ol>			}
Contract Detection Limit?			
2. Does the blank result meet the Contract criteria?			
3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit?	1.		
4, Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample			
result < the Contract Detection Limit?			
5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria?			
7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection			
Limit?			
8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria?			
9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance			
criteria?			
C. Other			•
1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted?			
2. Are all required forms filled out?			
3. Was the correct methodology used?			
4. Was transcription checked?			
5. Were all calculations checked at a minimum frequency? 6. Were units checked?			
o. Were units checked?			
Comments on any "No" response: Suc 11 CM			
	V	,	

#### Clouseau **Nonconformance Memo**



NCM#: 10-09503

NCM Initiated By: Lisa Antonson Date Opened: 03/02/2007

Date Closed:

Classification: Anomaly

Status: GLREVIEW

Production Area: Environmental - Prep

Tests: Alpha by GPC-Am

Lot #'s (Sample #'s): J7B210142 (1), J7B280000

(236),

QC Batches: 7059236

Nonconformance: Other (describe in detail) Subcategory: Other (explanation required)

#### Problem Description / Root Cause

<u>Name</u> Lisa Antonson

<u>Date</u> 03/02/2007 **Description** 

This Alpha batch is a rerun of batch 7052435 due to low yeild on the LCS. The rerun

produced acceptable data.

Aliquots were reduced due to weight screens.

Corrective Action

Name

Date

**Corrective Action** 

Lisa Antonson

03/02/2007 The cause of LCS failures is being investigated.

**Client Notification Summary** 

Client

**Project Manager** 

Notified

Response How Notified

Note

Response

Response Note

**Quality Assurance Verification** 

Verified By

**Due Date** 

Notes

This section not yet completed by QA.

Approval History

Date Approved

**Approved By** 

**Position** 

Date Printed: 3/2/2007



#### Data Review/Verification Checklist RADIOCHEMISTRY, First Level Review

3/2/2007 1:06:48 PM

Lot No., Due Date:

J7B210142; 03/01/2007

Client, Site:

384868; PGW 615HANFORD HANFORD

QC Batch No., Method Test: 7059234; RBETA-SR Beta by GPC-Sr/Y

SDG. Matrix:

W05071A: WATER

SD	G, Matrix: W05071A; WATER			
1.1	Is the ICOC page complete; includes all applicable analysis, dates, SOP numbers, and revisions?	Yea	No	N/A
	QC Batch Do the Summary/Detailed Reports include a calculated result for each sample listed on the QC Batch Sheet?	Yes	No	N/A
2.2	Are the QC appropriate for the analysis included in the batch?	Yes	No	N/A
2.3	Is the Analytical Batch Worksheet complete; includes as appropriate, volumes, count times, etc?	Yes	No	N/A
2.4	Does the Worksheets include a Tracer Vial label for each sample?	Yes	No	N/A
	QC & Samples Is the blank results, yield, and MDA within contract limits?	Yeş	No	N/A
3.2	Is the LCS result, yield, and MDA within contract limits?	Yes	No	N/A
3.3	Are the MS/MSD results, yields, and MDA within contract limits?	Yes	No	N/A
3.4	Are the duplicate result, yields, and MDAs within contract limits?	Yes	No	N/A
3.5	Are the sample yields and MDAs within contract limits?	Yes	N <sub>9</sub>	N/A
	Raw Dara Were results calculated in the correct units?	Yes	No	N/A
4.2	Were analysis volumes entered correctly?	Yes	No	N/A
4.3	Were Yields entered correctly?	Yes	No	N/A
4.4	Were spectra reviewed/meet contractual requirements?	Yes	No	N/A
4.5	Were raw counts reviewed for anomalies?	Yes	No	N/A
	Other	27.		
5.1	Are all nonconformances included and noted?	Yes	No	N/A
5.2	Are all required forms filled out?	Yes	No	N/A
5.3	Was the correct methodology used?	Yes	No	N/A
5.4	Was transcription checked?	Yes	No	N/A
5.5	Were all :alculations checked at a minimum frequency?	Yes	No	N/A
	Are worksheet entries complete and correct?	Yes	No	N/A
6.0	Comments on any No response: NCM 10-09502			Nitra and Common and Commission of the Commissio

First Level Review



# Data Review Checklist RADIOCHEMISTRY Second Level Review

OC Batch Number:	7059234
	W05071A.

Review Item	Yes (V)	No.(1)	N/A (V)
A. Sample Analysis		12.0(1)	11/22(4)
1. Are the sample yields within acceptance criteria?	BALLON OFFI THE STATE OF THE ST		
2. Is the sample Minimum Detectable Activity < the Contract			-
Detection Limit?	1		
3. Are the correct isotopes reported?			1
B. QC Samples	<del>                                     </del>		<del> </del>
<ol> <li>Is the Minimum Detectable Activity for the blank result ≤ the</li> </ol>			1
Contract Detection Limit?			
2. Does the blank result meet the Contract criteria?			
3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit?	1		
4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample			1
result < the Contract Detection Limit?			
5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria?			<del>                                     </del>
7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection		1	
Limit?			
8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria?			1
9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance			<del></del>
criteria?			
C. Other	·		<del> </del>
1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted?			
2. Are all required forms filled out?	/		<del> </del>
3. Was the correct methodology used?			
4. Was transcription checked?			<del> </del>
5. Were all calculations checked at a minimum frequency?			<u> </u>
6. Were units checked?			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Comments on any "No" response: Du NCM			

2. Are all required forms filled out?				-{
B. Was the correct methodology used?				4
4. Was transcription checked?	<del>                                     </del>	1		┨.
Were all calculations checked at a minimum frequency?				-
5. Were units checked?	<del>                                     </del>		- ·	-
Comments on any "No" response: Du UCM				J
				1 A
Second Level Review Hurry ( Allam	**************************************	Date:	3-2-07	

#### Clouseau Nonconformance Memo



NCM#: 10-09502

NCM Initiated By: Lisa Antonson
Date Opened: 03/02/2007

Date Closed:

Classification: Anomaly

Status: GLREVIEW

Production Area: Environmental - Prep

Tests: Beta by GPC-Sr/Y

Lot #'s (Sample #'s): J7B210142 (1), J7B280000

(234),

QC Batches: 7059234

Noncomformance: Other (describe in detail)
Subcategory: Other (explanation required)

#### Problem Description / Root Cause

Name Lisa Antonson <u>Date</u> 03/02/2007

<u>ate</u> <u>Descriptio</u>i

This Beta batch is a rerun of batch 7052436 due to low yeild on the LCS. Rerun LCS

yeild is 93%.

Samples do not meet the CRDL. Sample aliquot was reduced due to weight screens.

Results exceed the MDA achieved. Data accpeted.

Corrective Action

<u>Name</u>

<u>Date</u>

**Corrective Action** 

Lisa Antonson

03/02/2007 NA

Client Notification Summary

Client

Project Manager

**Notified** 

Response How Notified

Note

Response

Response Note

**Quality Assurance Verification** 

Verified By

**Due Date** 

Status

Notes

This section not yet completed by QA.

Approval History

Date Approved

Approved By

**Position** 

Date Printed: 3/2/2007



### Data Review/Verification Checklist

2/26/2007 12:18:19 PM RADIOCHEMISTRY, First Level Review Lot No., Dun Date: J7B210142; 03/01/2007 Client, Site: 384868; PGW 615HANFORD HANFORD QC Batch No., Method Test: 7052433; RTC99 Tc-99 by LSC SDG. Matrix: W05071A; WATER 8.0 Correction Calculation Protocol Used. No N/A 8.01 The Appropriate Methods Were Used To Analyze the Samples Yeş No N/A 8.02 Final Results Are in the Appropriate Activity Units Yeş No N/A 8.03 Batch Contains the Required QC Appropriate for the Method No N/A Yes 8.04 The Correct Tracer and QC Vials Where Used in the Samples No/ N/A Yes Oklanzizle157 Incorrect Tracer/Vial => JPRA81AE TCSG<>TCSE Q:V9 8.05 Sample was Appropriately Traced Before or After Fractionating the Sample No N/A Yeş 8.06 At Least the Minimum Sample Volume Was Used Yeş No N/A The Correct Count Geometry was Used. 8.07 No N/A Yeş 8.08 The Sarriple was Counted for the Minimum Count Time or CRDL was Achieved. Yeş No N/A 8.09 Method Blank is within Control Limits. No N/A OK 8.1 Comments: 8.11 Matrix Blank is within Control Limits. Yes No N/A No Matrix 3lanks (MBlks) found in Batch! No N/A 8.12 Method Blank(s) < QAS Limit Value (No B Flag Necessary). Yeş 8.13 QAS Specified Duplicate Equation Value within Control Limits. No N/A OK (RPC) 8.14 LCS with in Control Limits. No N/A Yeş 8.15 MLCS within Control Limits. Yes N/A No No Matrix 3pikes (MLCS) found in Batch! 8.16 MS with a Control Limits. No N/A 8.17 Tracer within Control Limits. Yes No N/A No Tracers found in Batch! 8.18 Samples are above Minimum Tracer Yield (No Failed Samples) N/A Yes No No Tracers found in Batch! 8.19 Sample Specific MDC <= CRDL. No N/A Yes OK 8.2 Comments: 8.21 Result < Lc, Activity Not Detected, U Flag. Yes No N/A No Limit 5 pecified! 8.22 Result < Mdc, Activity Not Detected, U Flag.</p> No N/A No Positive Results OK Calc IDL Not Calculated 8.23 Result <:: Action Level, when Defined. No N/A OK: No Action Level Found => TC-99 OK; No Callin Level Found => TC-99 8.24 Result + 3s >=0, Not Too Negative. No N/A 8.25 Counting Spectrum are within FWHM Limits. Yes No N/A No FWHN found in Batch Data!

STL Richland

QAS\_RADCAL(:v4.8.26

Page 1

8.26	Instruments have Current Calibrations.	Yes	No	N/A
8.27	Correct Count Library Used.	Yes	No	N/A
8.28	No Count Library found in Batch Data!  Instrument Background within Limits at Time of Counting. (Not Applicable to this version. To be developed in later version.)	nté)s	No	N/A
8.29	Instrument Check Source within Limits at the Time of Counting. (Not Applicable to this version. To be developed in later	v <b>Yéesi</b> o	nNec).	N/A
	Comments:		***************************************	***************************************
8.31	Results Blank Subtracted as Appropriate.	Yeş	No	N/A
X/	ОК	V		himmer@color=accolor=a
				İ
				ļ
				ļ
	$\alpha$ $\alpha$ $\alpha$ $\alpha$ $\alpha$ $\alpha$			
Firs	Richland Date 2/2007			

QAS\_RADCALCv4.8.26

Page 2



#### Data Review Checklist RADIOCHEMISTRY Second Level Review

OC Batch Number: 7052433 W0571A			
WO 571A			
Review Item	Yes (√)	No (1)	DILA (./ )
A. Sample Analysis	105(4)	140 (4)	N/A (√)
1. Are the sample yields within acceptance criteria?			
2. Is the sample Minimum Detectable Activity < the Contract	<del>                                     </del>	-	
Detection Limit?			.
3. Are the correct isotopes reported?			
B. QC Samples	1	1	
1. Is the Minimum Detectable Activity for the blank result ≤ the	and the second s		
Contract Detection Limit?			
2. Does the blank result meet the Contract criteria?			
3. Is the blank result < the Contract Detection Limit?	/.		
4. Is the blank result > the Contract Detection Limit but the sample			
result < the Contract Detection Limit?			
5. Is the LCS recovery with contract acceptance criteria?			
7. Is the LCS Minimum Detectable Activity ≤ the Contract Detection			
Limit?			
8. Do the MS/MSD results and yields meet acceptance criteria?			
9. Do the duplicate sample results and yields meet acceptance			1
criteria?			
C. Other			-
1. Are all Nonconformances included and noted?			
2. Are all required forms filled out?			1
3. Was the correct methodology used?	+		
4. Was transcription checked?			
5 Worn all calculations the last the control of the	<del></del>	<del> </del>	

Are an required forms filled our?		1	1	
. Was the correct methodology used?				
. Was transcription checked?				
. Were all calculations checked at a minimum frequency?		<del>                                      </del>		
. Were units checked?	+-/-			
Comments on any "No" response:				
·				
		,	ta the same of the	
Second Level Review. Skerryl a Alan	•	Date:	2-27-0	

Date/Time

Date/Time

Disposed By

FINAL SAMPLE

DISPOSITION

Disposal Method (e.g., Return to customer, per lab procedure, used in process)

## STL

### Sample Check-in List

Date/Ti	ime Received: 11.30-06 / 5/5		
Client:	Pow SDG#: 60050	7/ NA[] SAF#: W	07-0// NA ]
Work (	Order Number: <u>161.620178</u>	Chain of Custody # <u>W67-</u>	011-462,330,348,580
	ng Container ID: SAWS 336		
1.	Custody Seals on shipping container intact?	NA()	Yes [/] No[]
2.	Custody Seals dated and signed?	NA()	Yes [/ No[]
3.	Chain of Custody record present?		Yes M No [ ]
4.	Cooler temperature: NA[] 5.V	/ermiculite/packing materials	is NA [/ Wet [ ] Dry [ ]
6.	Number of samples in shipping container:	4	
7.	Sample holding times exceeded?		Yes[] No[]
8.	Samples have:tapecustody seals	hazard labels appropriate s	s samples labels
9.	Samples are:in good conditionbroken	leaking have air bub (Only for samples	bles requiring head space,
10.	Sample pH taken? NA [] pH<2	pH>2[/ pH>9[]	
11.	Sample Location, Sample Collector Listed? *For documentation only. No corrective act		Yes [/; No []
12.	Were any anomalies identified in sample rec	eipt?	Yes [] No N
13.	Description of anomalies (include sample nu	imbers): NIA	
<del></del>			
Sample	e Custodian: Smith	Date: 11-30-00	6 1515
	ient Sample ID Analysis Requested	Condition	Comments/Action
Client I	Informed onby	Person contacted	
[ ] No	action necessary; process as is.		
Project	Manager	Date	
LS-023	s, 9/03, Rev. 5		

2/28/2007 10:15:2	8 AM		Sam	ple Prep	aration/An	alysis	Balance Id:1120482733			
384868, Pacific No Pacific Northwest N		aboratory ,	AZ Gross Alpha S7 Gross Alpha	•		rve	Pipet #:			
AnalyDueDate: 03	3/01/2007	05071A	51 CLIENT: HAI	NFORD			Sep1 DT/Tm Tech:			
Batch: 7059236 SEQ Batch, Test: Nor	WATER	pCi/L		PM, Q	uote: SA , 57	671		Sep2 DT/Tm	Tech:	
<b>,</b>								Prep	Tech: BockJ 🎉	APA
Work Order, Lot, Sample DateTime	Total Amt/Unit	Initial Aliquot Amt/Unit	QC Tracer Prep Date	Dish Size	Ppt or Geometry	Count Time Min	Detector Id	Count On   Off (24hr) Circle	CR Analyst, Init/Date	
1 JPRA8-1-AK-X		124.60g,in			58.9	100	1 (	) F	1350	3/1/07 R
J7B210142-1-DUP				(7)	50.1	1	1	7 F	• -	
11/30/2006 12:55	SECONDARY SECOND	AmtRec: 50	OMLP.LP #Co	ntainers: 2		The state of the s	Scr:	Alpha:		Beta:
2 JPRA8-2-AA		123.00g,in			الدار الم	The second second	-	OA	1544	3/107 R
J7B210142-1-SAMP					54.4				1	<i>î</i>
11/30/2006 12:55		AmtRec: 50	00MLP.LP #Co	ontainers: 2		And Aller and Al	Scr:	Alpha:		Beta:
3 JP724-1-AA-B		199.10g,in		Transcription of the Control of the	0.3	A Property Control of the Control of		OB	pe sagnification for the particular and the particu	
17B280000-236-BLK	41  \$ 3  <b>4</b>   <b>                   </b>				U \					
11/30/2006 12:55	6000000 6000000 6000000 6000000 60000000	AmtRec:	#Containe	ers: 1		A C L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	Scr:	Alpha:		Beta:
<b>4 JP724-1-AC-C</b> J7B280000-236-LCS		200.30g,in	ASD4134 02/14/07,pd 02/09/06,r	V	0.5			10c	TO A CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF TH	DECOMPANY COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
11/30/2006 12:55		AmtRec:	#Containe	ers: 1			Scr:	Alpha:	<u> </u>	Beta:
		its. Aliquds reduced du								
8H	(2.0 92	ngle Used f	or alphal	Beta,	sed SA	ngle 1	abeled	Go gann	3/1/0+ APA	-58-01
SAMP JPR,	48-1-AR-X	was refluxe	at twice a	nd the	weight st	ill did v	MOT TALL	,		
SAMP SPT	24-1-AA-B (@	3LK) had slig	ut crack i	n the i	riamot F	Naudiet	3/1/67	APA		
All Clients for E 384868, Pacif		ational Laborator	y Pacific	Northwest 1	National Lab,	SA , 576	71			
PRA81AK-DUP Cons ALPHA RDI		ci/L LCL:	UCL:	RPD:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
ALPHA RDI	.:3 pc	Ci/L LCL:	UCL:	RPD:						
JP7241AC-LCS: Am-241 RDI	,: p0	Ci/L LCL:70	UCL:130	RPD:20						
STL Richland I	•	fi - Final Amt, di - Di Reference Dt, ec-Enr			Page 1	ISV	- Insufficient Vo	lume for Analysis		WO Cnt: 4 Prep_SamplePrep v4.8.2

/28/2007 10:15:30	O AM		Sampl	e Prepa	ration/Ar	nalysis		Balance Id:1120482733			
			AZ Gross Alpha PrpRC5014 S7 Gross Alpha by GPC using Am-241 curve					Pipet #:			
nalyDueDate: 03	3/01/2007		51 CLIENT: HANF		ig /mi 2+1 0	uive		Sep1 DT/Tm Tech:			
Batch: 7059236 SEQ Batch, Test: Non	ie.	pCi/L			ond throughton			Sep2 DT/Tm	Гесh:		
Zed Baton, 100t. 110th								Prep <sup>·</sup>	Tech: ,BockJ		
Work Order, Lot, Sample DateTime	Total Amt/Unit	Initial Aliquot Amt/Unit	QC Tracer Prep Date	Dish Size	Ppt or Geometry	Count Time Min	Detector Id	Count On   Off (24hr) Circle	CR Analyst, Init/Date	Comments:	
PRA81AK-DUP Calc Uncert Level P7241AA-BLK:	(#s): 2	Decay to SaDt: Y	Blk Subt.: N			DDRs: B					
Uncert Level 27241AC-LCS: Uncert Level		Decay to SaDt: Y Decay to SaDt: Y	Blk Subt.: N Blk Subt.: N			ODRs: B					
					3 mm mores	ad D			Dot -		

Page 2

<sup>28/2007</sup> 10:19:56	S AM	,	Sam	ple Prep	aration/An	alysis	Balance Id:1120482733			
84868, Pacific Nortacific Nortacific Northwest Na		Laboratory ,	BC Gross Beta l	•	0.7/.00		Pipet #:			
			S8 Gross Beta I	-	ig Sr/Y-90 cur	ve	Sep1 DT/Tm Tech:			
nalyDueDate: 03			OI OLILITI. IIA			2074	•			
atch: 7059234 EQ Batch, Test: None	WATER	pCi/L		PIVI, Q	uote: SA , 57	6/1		Sep2 DT/Tm	,	
								Prep	Tech: BockJ / A	PA
Work Order, Lot, Sample DateTime	Total Amt/Unit	Initial Alique Amt/Unit	t QC Tracer Prep Date	Dish Size	Ppt or Geometry	Count Time Min	Detector Id	Count On   Off (24hr) Circle	CR Analyst, Init/Date	Comments:
JPRA8-1-AJ-X		126.00g,in			97,5	100	2 -	79	1405	211071
B210142-1-DUP				(,7	9117	1	_	( - (	1 10	
/30/2006 12:55	600/984	AmtRe	:: 500MLP.LP #Cc	ntainers: 2			Scr	Alpha:		Beta:
JPRA8-2-AC		124.70g,in			0110/		2	7-b		
B210142-1-SAMP				To a second	94.8		_	TO		/
1/30/2006 12:55		AmtRe	o: 500MLP.LP #Co	ntainers: 2			Scr	Alpha:		Beta:
JP723-1-AA-B		203.90g,in			~ \	COLORAN D PROPERTY	7	70		
3280000-234-BLK				Name of the last	0.1		4		arrando academica de la compansión de la	National Assessment of the Control o
/30/2006 12:55	57,050,000 57,000 57,000	AmtRe	c: #Containe	rs: 1			Son	Alpha:		Beta:
<b>JP723-1-AC-C</b> 'B280000-234-LCS		199.90g,in	BESB3020 02/26/07,pd 08/08/06,r	V	5=0	4	2	.72		
1/30/2006 12:55	REMOVED IN THE PROPERTY OF THE	AmtRe		ers: 1			Son	Alpha:	1	Beta:
Comments: JPRA	.8-DUP "Comm	ents. Aliquos reduced	due to weight screen a	activity. JB 02/	22/07"					
49	(2.0	\$3-28-0	n				ı			
, O	w of 5	ampe be	alphal t	zeta,	ssed St	mple	لنلعطنا	1 60 9H	mma. B	2-28-07
				*						
Clients for Ba 384868, Pacifi		National Laborat	ory Pacific	Northwest 1	National Lab,	SA , 5767	1		And the second s	
RA81AJ-DUP Const BETA RDL:		pCi/L LCL:	UCL:	RPD:	***************************************					
BETA RDL:	: 4	pCi/L LCL:	UCL:	RPD:						
7231AC-LCS: Sr-90 RDL:	:	pCi/L LCL:70	UCL:130	RPD:20						
STL Richland K	ey: In - Initial Am	t, fi - Final Amt, di	Diluted Amt, s1 - Sep1	, s2 - Sep2	Page 1	ISV -	Insufficient Vo	lume for Analysis		WO Cnt: 4

2/28/2007 10:19:57 AM		Sampl	e Preparation/	Analysis		Balanc	Balance Id:1120482733			
		BC Gross Beta Prp S8 Gross Beta by 0	RC5014 GPC using Sr/Y-90	Pipet #:Sep1 DT/Tm Tech:						
nalyDueDate: 03/01/2007		51 CLIENT: HANFO	ORD							
Batch: 7059234 SEQ Batch, Test: None	pCi/L					Sep2 DT/Tm T	ech:			
2 a 2 a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a						Prep 1	ech: ,BockJ			
Work Order, Lot, Total Sample DateTime Amt/U	Initial Aliquot Amt/Unit	QC Tracer Prep Date	Dish Ppt or Size Geometr	Count y Time Min	Detector Id	Count On   Off (24hr) Circle	CR Analyst, Init/Date	Comments:		
PRA81AJ-DUP Calc Info: Uncert Level (#s).: 2 P7231AA-BLK: Uncert Level (#s).: 2	Decay to SaDt: Y	Blk Subt.: N	Sci.Not.: Y	ODRs: B						
P7231AC-LCS: Uncert Level (#s).: 2	Decay to SaDt: Y	Blk Subt.: N	Sci.Not.: Y	ODRs: B						
			Appr	oved By			Date:			

#### 2/22/2007 7:38:09 AM Sample Preparation/Analysis Balance Id:1120482733 384868, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory . FP Tc-99 Prp/SepRC5065 Pipet #: Pacific Northwest National Lab S5 Technetium-99 by Liquid Scint **5I CLIENT: HANFORD** Sep1 DT/Tm Tech: AnalyDueDate: 03/01/2007 \ 🔨 🐧 Batch: 7052433 WATER PM, Quote: SA, 57671 pCi/L Sep2 DT/Tm Tech: SEQ Batch, Test: None Prep Tech: .BockJ Adi Aliq Amt Work Order, Lot. Total Amt Total Initial Aliquot Count On | Off QC Tracer Count Detector CR Analyst, Comments: Sample Date /Unit Acidified/Unit Amt/Unit (Un-Acidified) Prep Date Time Min ld (24hr) Circle Init/Date 1 JPRA8-1-AD 125.10g,in 125.10g J7B210142-1-SAMP 11/30/2006 12:55 AmtRec: 500MLP.LP #Containers: 2 Scr: Alpha: Beta: 2 JPRA8-1-AE-S 123.20q,in 123.20q tcsq1775 01/24/07.pd J7B210142-1-MS 01/10/06.r 11/30/2006 12:55 AmtRec: 500MLP.LP #Containers: 2 Scr: Alpha: Beta: 3 JPRA8-1-AF-X 127.30g,in 127.30g J7B210142-1-DUP 11/30/2006 12:55 AmtRec: 500MLP.LP #Containers: 2 Scr: Alpha: Beta: 4 JPTLC-1-AA-B 126.40a 126.40g,in J7B210000-433-BLK 11/30/2006 12:55 AmtRec: #Containers: 1 Scr: Alpha: Beta: 5 JPTLC-1-AC-C 126.90g,in 126.90g tcse2078 02/21/07.pd J7B210000-433-LCS 01/10/06,r 11/30/2006 12:55 AmtRec: #Containers: 1 Scr: Alpha: Beta: 6 JPTLC-1-AD-BN J7B210000-433-IBLK 11/30/2006 12:55 AmtRec: #Containers: 1 Scr: Alpha: Beta: STL Richland Key: In - Initial Amt, fi - Final Amt, di - Diluted Amt, s1 - Sep1, s2 - Sep2 ISV - Insufficient Volume for Analysis WO Cnt: 6 Page 1 Prep\_SamplePrep v4.8.26 pd - Prep Dt, r - Reference Dt, ec-Enrichment Cell, ct-Cocktailed Added Richland Wa.

2/22/2007 7:38:12 AM		Sa	ımple Prepa	ration/Ana	alysis		Balance	ld:				
		FP Tc-99 Prp S5 Technetiu	/SepRC5065 im-99 by Liquid	Scint		Pipet #:						
AnalyDueDate: 03/01/2007		5I CLIENT: HANFORD						Sep1 DT/Tm Tech:				
Batch: 7052433 SEQ Batch, Test: None	pCi/L	pCi/L					Sep2 DT/Tm Tech:					
							Prep Te	ch:				
Work Order, Lot, Sample Date Total Amt	Total Acidified/Unit	Initial Aliquot Amt/Unit	Adj Aliq Amt (Un-Acidified)	QC Tracer Prep Date	Count Time Min	Detector Id	Count On   Off (24hr) Circle	CR Analyst, Init/Date	Comments:			
Comments: PH < 2.0	B2-22-0	>1										
All Clients for Batch: 384868, Pacific Northwe	est National Labora	tory Pacifi	c Northwest Na	tional Lab,	SA , 57671	М	The state of the s		***************************************			
JPRA81AD-SAMP Constituent I		10 7707 120	777 20	-								
JPRA81AE-MS Constituent Lis	pCi/L LCL:7 st:	0 UCL:130	RPD:20									
JPTLC1AA-BLK:												
Tc-99 RDL:15 JPTLC1AC-LCS:	pCi/L LCL:	UCL:	RPD:									
Tc-99 RDL:15	pCi/L LCL:7	0 UCL:130	RPD:20									
TC-99 RDL:15	pCi/L LCL:	UCL:	RPD:									
Uncert Level (#s).: 2	Decay to SaDt:	Y Blk Subt.	: N Sci.No	t.: Y OI	Rs: B							
Uncert Level (#s).: 2 JPRA81AE-MS Calc Info: Uncert Level (#s).: 2	Decay to SaDt:				ORs: B							
Uncert Level (#s).: 2 JPRA81AE-MS Calc Info: Uncert Level (#s).: 2 JPTLC1AA-BLK: Uncert Level (#s).: 2	_	Y Blk Subt.	: N Sci.No	t.: Y OI								
Uncert Level (#s).: 2 JPRA81AE-MS Calc Info:     Uncert Level (#s).: 2 JPTLC1AA-BLK:     Uncert Level (#s).: 2 JPTLC1AC-LCS:     Uncert Level (#s).: 2	Decay to SaDt:	Y Blk Subt.	: N Sci.No	t.: Y OI	Rs: B							
<pre>JPRA81AE-MS Calc Info:     Uncert Level (#s).: 2 JPTLC1AA-BLK:     Uncert Level (#s).: 2 JPTLC1AC-LCS:</pre>	Decay to SaDt:	Y Blk Subt. Y Blk Subt. Y Blk Subt.	: N Sci.No : N Sci.No : N Sci.No	t.: Y OI t.: Y OI	DRs: B							

Page 2

3/2/2007 1:14:59 PM

# ICOC Fraction Transfer/Status Report ByDate: 3/2/2006, 3/7/2007, Batch: '7059236', User: \*ALL Order By DateTimeAccepting

Ord CurStat	us A	ccepting		Comments
CalcC	BockJ	2/28/2007 10:1	2:14	
	antonsonl	IsBatched	2/28/2007 8:53:22 AM	ICOC_RADCALC v4.8.26
	BockJ	InPrep	2/28/2007 10:12:14 AM	RICH-RC-5016 Revision 6
	BockJ	Prep1C	2/28/2007 10:15:33 AM	RICH-RC-5014 REVISION 6
	AshworthA	Prep2C	3/1/2007 11:40:45 AM	RICH-RC-5014 REVISION 6
	StringerR	InCnt1	3/1/2007 11:53:16 AM	RICH-RD-0003 REVISION 4
	StringerR	CalcC	3/1/2007 4:10:11 PM	RICH-RD-0003 REVISION 4
	BockJ	2/28/2007 10:1	5:33	
	AshworthA	3/1/2007 11:40	:45	
	StringerR	3/1/2007 11:53	:16	
	StringerR	3/1/2007 4:10:1	11 PM	
		CalcC BockJ antonsonl BockJ BockJ AshworthA StringerR StringerR BockJ AshworthA StringerR	CalcC         BockJ         2/28/2007 10:1           antonsonl         IsBatched           BockJ         InPrep           BockJ         Prep1C           AshworthA         Prep2C           StringerR         InCnt1           StringerR         CalcC           BockJ         2/28/2007 10:1           AshworthA         3/1/2007 11:40           StringerR         3/1/2007 11:53	CalcC         BockJ         2/28/2007 10:12:14           antonsonl         IsBatched         2/28/2007 8:53:22 AM           BockJ         InPrep         2/28/2007 10:12:14 AM           BockJ         Prep1C         2/28/2007 10:15:33 AM           AshworthA         Prep2C         3/1/2007 11:40:45 AM           StringerR         InCnt1         3/1/2007 11:53:16 AM           StringerR         CalcC         3/1/2007 4:10:11 PM           BockJ         2/28/2007 10:15:33           AshworthA         3/1/2007 11:40:45           StringerR         3/1/2007 11:53:16

AC: Accepting Entry; SC: Status Change

STL Richland Richland Wa.

Grp Rec Cnt:5 ICOCFractions v4.8.26 3/2/2007 1:02:28 PM

## ICOC Fraction Transfer/Status Report ByDate: 3/2/2006, 3/7/2007, Batch: '7059234', User: \*ALL Order By DateTimeAccepting

Q Batch W	ork Ord CurStat	us A	ccepting		Comments
7059234	A demonstration of the second	- Carrier - Communication of the Communication of t			
4C	CalcC	BockJ	2/28/2007 10:1	5:52	
SC		antonsonl	IsBatched	2/28/2007 8:53:22 AM	ICOC_RADCALC v4.8.26
SC		BockJ	InPrep	2/28/2007 10:15:52 AM	RICH-RC-5016 Revision 6
SC		BockJ	Prep1C	2/28/2007 10:20:00 AM	RICH-RC-5014 REVISION 6
SC		AshworthA	Prep2C	3/1/2007 11:40:51 AM	RICH-RC-5014 REVISION 6
SC		StringerR	InCnt1	3/1/2007 11:53:22 AM	RICH-RD-0003 REVISION 4
SC		StringerR	CalcC	3/1/2007 2:26:53 PM	RICH-RD-0003 REVISION 4
AC .		BockJ	2/28/2007 10:2	0:00	
AC .		AshworthA	3/1/2007 11:40	:51	
AC .		StringerR	3/1/2007 11:53	:22	
4C		StringerR	3/1/2007 2:26:5	53 PM	

AC: Accepting Entry; SC: Status Change

STL Richland

Richland Wa.

2/26/2007 12:17:44 PM

# ICOC Fraction Transfer/Status Report ByDate: 2/26/2006, 3/3/2007, Batch: '7052433', User: \*ALL Order By DateTimeAccepting

Q Batch	Work Ord	CurStat	tus Ad	ccepting		Comments
7052433	PARAMETERS & RECOGNISIONS	<del>Andrews</del>				
AC		CalcC	BockJ	2/22/2007 7:30	:14	
SC			antonsonl	IsBatched	2/21/2007 2:38:30 PM	ICOC_RADCALC v4.8.26
SC			BockJ	InPrep	2/22/2007 7:30:14 AM	rich-rc-5017 rEVISION 5
SC			BockJ	Prep1C	2/22/2007 7:38:18 AM	RICH-RC-5016 REVISION 6
SC			HarveyK	InSep1	2/22/2007 10:22:01 AM	RICH-RC-5065 REV5
SC			HarveyK	Sep1C	2/22/2007 5:52:48 PM	RICH-RC-5065 REV5
SC			DAWKINSO	InCnt1	2/22/2007 6:17:18 PM	RICH-RD-0001 REVISION 3
SC			BlackCL	CalcC	2/23/2007 8:08:44 AM	RICH-RD-0001 REVISION 3
4 <i>C</i>			BockJ	2/22/2007 7:38	:18	
4 <i>C</i>			HarveyK	2/22/2007 10:2	2:01	
4C			HarveyK	2/22/2007 5:52	:48 PM	
4C			DAWKINSO	2/22/2007 6:17	:18 PM	
AC			BlackCL	2/23/2007 8:08	:44	

AC: Accepting t:ntry; SC: Status Change

STL Richland Richland Wa.

Grp Rec Cnt:6 ICOCFractions v4.8.26

3/2/2007 1:15:29 PM

### Data Verification Log (Qualifiers)

Batch Verification Log Order by Qualifier Type, Work Order, Parameter



Work Order No.	Parameter Code	Verification Item	LCL	UCL	Associated Value	Text Limit	Limit Units	Qualifie	Discription	Validation Text
vInfo 8.06 JPRA82AA		MinSaVolumeChe AnalyVolume/QasMinAnalyV >= 0.95	o 200.0	0.95	0.615			VB	Less than the Minimum Specified Sample Volume was Used.	Analysis Volume => JPRA82AA 123.00<200.00 Q:VB
<i>VND</i> 8.22 JPRA82AA	ALPHA	ResultLTLcMdcAc ResultValue < ResultUCL		2.4	2.4	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	pCi/L	U5	Result <= MDC, where MDC = 4.65 * sqrt(BlkBkg) + ~3.	No Positive Results, OK